

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON WATER, ENERGY, FOOD AND SUSTAINABILITY **ASSESSING THE INCLUSIVENESS OF THE NATIONAL ENERGY AND CLIMATE PLANS 2030 OF BELGIUM AND PORTUGAL THROUGH A**

HARD-TO-REACH ENERGY USERS LENS

Miguel Macias Sequeira^{1*}, João Pedro Gouveia¹

¹CENSE – Center for Environmental and Sustainability Research & CHANGE – Global Change and Sustainability Institute, NOVA School of Science and Technology, NOVA University of Lisbon * m.sequeira@campus.fct.pt

Introduction

- > The European Union's (EU) European Green Deal emphasizes the need for a just energy transition where no one is left behind.
- Hard-to-reach (HTR) energy users those that have so far been neglected by energy policies have been gaining attention, with five groups: vulnerable households, high-income households, tenants and landlords, small and medium enterprises, and commercial subsectors [1].
- In this work, we explore the draft updated National Energy and Climate Plans (NECP) for 2030 of EU Member States Belgium and Portugal for mentions of HTR groups regarding just transitions and targeted and tailored measures.

Methods

Results

- ➢ We use a HTR ene framework with precise profi
- NECPs were scanned for r HTR profiles, and these see read to understand the conte
- The figure below explains used. Each cell repres assessment of one HTR p given country's NECP.

2

b), c)

Share of the HTR profi population (residential nterprises (non-residen

Number of in-scope men

Mentioned in the draft NE Just energy transitions b) Targeted and tailored

Targeted and tailored d) Targeted and tailored Targeted and tailored

red – not mentioned in th

considering the size of th green – sufficiently ment the size of the HTR profile

insufficiently

Color scheme:

ergy users ofiles [2,3]. mentions of ections were	Member State HTR profile	Belgium	Portugal	Member State HTR profile	Belgium	Portugal	Member State HTR profile	Belgium	Portugal
ntext [4,5]. s the matrix	Low income	29 24 a), b), c)	25 2 b), c)	Migrants	18 2 b), c)	12	Small and medium enterprises	^{99,9} 33 a), b), c), d), e)	99,9 6 b)
esents the profile for a	Low education	13 1	24 1	Unemployed	2	0	Micro-enterprises	95 2 d), e)	95 1 a)
		b)	b)		b)		Wholesale	7 5 7 d), e)	с З
ofile as a percentage (%) of the al sector) or of the number of ential sector).	Rural	15 a), d)	23 e)	Ill-health	22 a), c), d)	³⁹ 1 c)	Retail	,, 10	14 6
nentions in the draft NECP 2030. NECP 2030 regarding:	Multi-family	22 25 a), c)	47 3 c), d)	Other marginalized	? 0	? 0	Accommodation		a), c)
ed measures (generic), ed measures (generic), ed measures (buildings), ed measures (transport), ed measures (other).	Elderly	18 13 a), c), d)	17	High-income	11 2 a), c)	19	Food services	1 c)	4 a), b), c), d)
n the NECP, htly mentioned in the NECP i the HTR profile, entioned in the NECP considering	Young	14 a), b), c), d)	5 a), b), d)	Sumptuous spenders	? 0	? 0	Offices	c) 20 8 c), d)	27 3 a), c)
file	Single- parents	9 ₅ a), c)	4	Tenants	28 25 a), c)	22 1 c)	Other services	24 25 c)	15 5 a), c)

Conclusions

25

- Vulnerable households are mentioned in the NECPs, but specific profiles are overlooked, including rural households, migrants, people with ill health, and other marginalized groups.
- High-income households are timidly mentioned in Belgium's NECP but overlooked in Portugal's one. This implies that more attention needs to be ii) drawn to issues of excessive energy consumption.
- Due to split incentives, the rented building stock has been seen as harder-to-reach. A relevant share of Belgium's population lives in a rented iii) home, and the NECP proposes targeted and tailored measures. The Portuguese NECP does not adequately mention this issue.
- Small and medium enterprises represent over 99% of enterprises. The NECPs provide targeted and tailored measures to protect businesses from iv) energy prices and improve energy performance. However, microenterprises are scarcely mentioned.
- The commercial sector is heterogeneous. Both NECPs mention different subsectors within this group, establishing targeted measures. V)

While promising examples of recognition of HTR groups and targeted and tailored measures can be found, we argue that policymakers can do much more to ensure that energy policies really promote an ambitious, fast, and just energy transition that leaves no one behind.

References

[1] Rotmann, S., Mundaca, L., Castaño-Rosa, R., O'Sullivan, K., Ambrose, A., Marchand, R., Chester, M., Karlin, B., Ashby, K., Butler, D., and Chambers, J. (2020). Hard-to-Reach Energy Users: A Literature Review. User-Centred Energy Systems TCP - HTR Task. ISBN: 978-0-473-64983-8.

[2] Sequeira, M.M., Gouveia, J.P., and Melo, J.J. (forthcoming). (Dis)comfortably numb in energy transitions: Gauging residential hard-to-reach energy users in the European Union.

[3] Sequeira, M.M., and Gouveia, J.P. (2023). Non-residential hard-to-reach energy users: A vast audience forgotten by the energy transition? 8th Meeting on Energy and Environmental Economics, Aveiro University, Portugal. https://doi.org/10.48528/v3b3-fk78

[4] Government of Belgium (2023). Draft update of the Belgian National Energy and Climate Plan. [5] Government of Portugal (2023). Draft National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030: Update/Revision.

